





d the European Cou yers carry out any e

ive a gi

- Digital taxation China / US trade Asia Pacific Australia
- EU. Italy 5.
- Africa
 Nigeria Nigeria
 Nigeria
 Americas
 Chile, Co
 Treaties
 Worth reading

onzaio sumotti and Carolina Masiny tecent Developments in the Taxation of Indirect Share Transfe dd Challenges from Chille, Colombia, Peru and Uniquay* illetin for International Taxation, IBFD, 2019 (Volume 73), No.

natnan Schwarz direct transfers: What is an indirect int ctor, and what is it worth?" wer International Tax Blog, August 1,

NTERNATIONAL

Co is a limited partnership formed under country B law. It has a general partner (XCO) thich is a company incorporated and resident in country B. It also has 100 limited artners, who are individuals resident in country A. BCO owns 100% of the shares of CO, which is a company resident in country C. CCO's assets do not consist of land in ountry C. The AC double tax treaty is identical to the 2014 OECD model treaty. The MI country C. The AC double tax treaty is identical to the 2014 OECD model treaty. The MI country B has not entered into any double tax treates. BCO ears not party but that treaty. Country B has not entered into any double tax treates. BCO ears not party but that treaty. Country C under the country C tax law, BCO is treated as a conversaident company. BCO selfs all of the shares in CCO for a significant profit. Under country C tax law, BCO is taxable on that profit. What impact (if any) does the AC reaty have on the country C tax position?

ek's question & solution

act week's question & solution

(CO is a company which is review on an IP licensing business – It licenses IP to custome in various countries, in return for royalties. One of those customers is ZCO, which is a company resident in country Z. XCO is the beneficial owner of the royalties, and it does not have a PE in country Z. The X/Y. XZ and Y/Z double tax treaties are identical to the death of the countries of

- Z tax: \$5: Art. 12, X/Z treaty Y tax:
 - 1.

 - Prima facie tax, before applying Art. 24(3), **vuv***

 Art. 24(3), XY treatly, requires that: "The taxation on a [PE] which an enterprise of [X] has in [Y] shall not be less fiseourably levied in [Y] than the taxation on enterprises of [X] carrying on the same activities.

 Art. 24(3), XY treatly, requires that: "The taxation on a [PE] which an enterprise of [X] carrying on the same activities of [X] carrying on the same activities as the PE. VO would be subject to 30% corporate income tax on its profits from those activities (same as the PE], and it would be entitled to a credit of the Z tax under Y domestic law and under Art. 238(1) of the YZ teatly (tot the same as the PE]: the non-residence status of the PE means that if does not satisfy the conditions for credit under Y domestic law and that it does not satisfy the oradionac condition in Art. 1 of the YZ teatly, VCO's credit to would reflect the following that the conditions for credit under Y domestic law and that it does not satisfy the residence condition in Art. 1 of the YZ teatly, VCO's credit to would reflect the following the conditions for the YE teatly, VCO's credit to would reflect the following that the YE teatly that the YE tax in the YE teatly that the YE tax in the YE tax in

- X must grant a credit of \$5 under Art. 23B(1) of X/Z tr 23B(1) of X/Y treaty. Thus, X tax = \$30 \$5 \$20 = \$5 aty, and a credit of \$20 ur

Tax Quiz A ıl P

e a friend or colleag ward it to them. d this email alert in



